

BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING AGENDA

SPECIAL MEETING THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2022 10:30 A.M.

ARTS DISTRICT PARKING GARAGE

LARGE CONFERENCE ROOM

431 West Main Street, Suite B

Oklahoma City, OK, 73102

DIRECTORS:

City of Edmond City of Norman City of Oklahoma City City of Oklahoma City

James Boggs, Treasurer Marion Hutchison, Vice Chairperson Brad Henry, Chairperson Mary Mélon, Secretary

Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma

MEETING INFORMATION

The Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma (RTA) typically meets once a month. The meetings are held on the third Wednesday of the month at the Arts District Parking Garage, Large Conference Room, 431 West Main Street, Suite B, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, at 2:30 p.m. Notices of or changes to meeting dates and locations are posted prior to the meeting at <u>www.rtaok.org</u>, and filed with the Secretary of State.

It is the policy of RTA to ensure communication with participants and members of the public with disabilities are as effective as communications with others. Anyone with a disability who requires accommodations, modifications of policies or procedures or auxiliary aid or services to participate in this meeting should call (405) 297-2484 or TDD (405) 297-2020 at least 48 hours in advance (excluding weekends or holidays). The department will give primary consideration to the choice of auxiliary aid or service requested by the individual with disability. If you need an alternate format of the agenda or any information provided at this meeting, please call (405) 297-2484 at least 48 hours before the meeting.

Public Parking

Parking for meeting is available in the Arts District Parking Garage, 431 West Main Street, or at metered parking on the street.

Addressing RTA

The public may address RTA during public hearings on any agenda item or at the end of the meeting when the Board Chairperson asks for public comments. You may sign up to speak at the meeting. **Please limit your comments to three minutes.** Prior to the meeting, you may submit your comments by e-mail to: <u>info@rtaok.org.</u> Please address your e-mail to the RTA Board Chairperson.

The Chairperson or presiding officer may in his or her discretion prohibit a person from addressing the RTA, or have any person removed from the meeting, if that person commits any disorderly or disruptive behavior. Disorderly conduct includes, but is not limited to, any of the following: speaking without being recognized by the Chairperson or presiding officer; continuing to speak after notice that the speaker's allotted time has expired; presenting comments or material not relevant to the item under discussion; failing to comply with the lawful instructions of the Chairperson or presiding officer; engaging in other conduct, activity or speech that delays, pursuant to 21 O.S. §280, disruptive conduct includes any conduct that is "violent, threatening, abusive, obscene, or that jeopardizes the safety of self or others". A person may also be subject to arrest and removal from the building for violation of Oklahoma City Municipal Code 2020, § 30-81 - Disorderly conduct and/or violation of Okla. Stat. tit. 21, §280- Willfully Disturbing, Interfering With or Disrupting State Business, Agency Operations or Employees



BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING AGENDA

RTA Actions

RTA may adopt, amend, approve, ratify, deny, defer, recommend, strike or continue any agenda item. RTA is not limited by staff recommendations as to the actions it may take. When more information is needed, RTA may refer matters to the Executive Director, General Counsel, committees, or independent consultants for additional information and study. Items may be stricken from the agenda, or no action may be taken.

To confirm meeting dates or for more information about the RTA, call (405) 297-2185; or visit the website at <u>www.rtaok.org</u>

September 15, 2022 10:30 a.m. 431 W. MAIN STREET, SUITE B, OKLAHOMA CITY, OK SPECIAL MEETING

- 1. Call to Order Brad Henry, RTA Board Chairperson
- 2. Roll Call Brad Henry, RTA Board Chairperson
- 3. Consider Approval of MinutesA. June 15, 2022 Regional Transportation Authority Meeting
- 4. Executive Director Reports Jason Ferbrache, Interim Executive Director
- 5. Committee Reports Board of Directors
 - A. Property Acquisition Committee
 - B. Outreach Committee
- 6. Receive Financial Reports and Ratify and Approve Claims
 - A. Period of June 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022
 - B. Period of July 1, 2022 through July 31, 2022
 - C. Period of August 1, 2022 through August 31, 2022
- 7. Consider adopting a Resolution updating a prior resolution appointing official custodians of the Regional Transportation Authority's funds, by adding a provision to include authority to approve collateral transfers.
- 8. Consider approving Request for Proposals for professional services to perform an Alternatives Analysis study for two transit corridors in the Central Oklahoma Region (RTA 22-001); and authorize the Interim Executive Director to advertise.



BOARD OF DIRECTORS MEETING AGENDA

- 9. Project Update: Alternative Analysis Liz Scanlon, Kimley-Horn
- 10. Public Comments Brad Henry, RTA Board Chairperson
- 11. Enter into Executive Session on advice of the Municipal Counselor to discuss the purchase or appraisal of real property as authorized by 25 O.S (2021 Supp) § 307 (B)(3)
- 12. New Business Brad Henry, RTA Board Chairperson

Non-action items that were not known or reasonably foreseen at the time of the posting of the agenda. This may include requests for future agenda items.

13. Adjournment

Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma Payment Claims

Date	Vendor	Description	Invoice No.	Cost	Total
7/1/2022	Holmes & Associates LLC	Consultant Fees - Labor	722	\$ 13,232.50	
		Travel Time	722	\$ 2,567.50	
		Cost Reimbursement RTA	722	\$ 1,739.68	
					\$ 17,539.68
5/31/2022	Kimley-Horn	Tasks 1 - Project Management	21582658R	\$ 11,270.09	
	Kimley-Horn	Task 2 - Public Engagement	21582658R	\$ 29,648.93	
	Kimley-Horn	Task 4 - AA Process	21582658R	\$ 20,628.13	
	Kimley-Horn	Task 6 - Rail Operations Planning	21582658R	\$ 22,706.33	
	Kimley-Horn	80-2022 FTA Mega Grant	21582658R	\$ 50,941.02	
	Kimley-Horn	KHA Expenses	21582658R	\$ 1,624.30	
					\$ 136,818.80
7/1/2022	COTPA	Admin Services Fee	2022-112	\$ 2,288.00	
7/1/2022	COTPA	Press Release - Virtual Town Hall	2022-112	\$ 150.00	
					\$ 2,438.00
	Т	otal Claims			\$ 156,796.48

APPROVED:

7.12.22 James P. Boggs Date

RATIFIED and APPROVED by the Treasurer and Chairman of the Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma, this 15 _____ th day of September _____, 2022.

ATTEST:

Mary Mélon/Sedretary



REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL OKLAHOMA 1 Brad Henry, Chairman

HOLMES & ASSOCIATES LLC

Holmes & Associates LLC 910 S Donner Way #304 Salt Lake City, UT 84108 Phone: 703.999.4440 E-Mail: kathryn@holmesassociatesllc.com

EIN: 82-1144150 Supplier ID: 231866 P.O # 2021-003

Invoice #722

July 1, 2022

Client

RTA 2000 S. May Oklahoma City, OK 73108 ATTN: James P. Boggs boggsedmondrta@cox.net ATTN: Suzanne Wickenkamp suzanne.wickenkamp@okc.gov

Date	Biller	Description		Hours/Qty	Rate	Amount
6/01– 6/30/2022	KAH	RTA - TIME: Time billed I Holmes for the period 6/01/2022 to 6/30/2022	by K	33.50	395.00	\$13,232.50
6/01- 6/30/2022	КАН	RTA - TIME: Travel time I by K Holmes for the perio 6/01/2022 to 6/30/2022		13	197.50	\$2,567.50
6/01- 6/30/2022	КАН	RTA - COSTS: Total costs incurred by KAH				\$1,739.68
make checks	e your business. payable to "Hol .C." Thank you	mes &	Invo	bice Balanc	e Due	\$17,539.68

Kimley »Horn _____

Please remit payment e	lectronically to:	If paying by check, please remit to:
Account Name: Bank Name and Address: Account Number: ABA#:	KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94163 2073089159554 121000248	KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. P.O. BOX 913221 DENVER, CO 80291-3221

RTA OF CENTRAL OK ATTN: JASON FERBRACHE 2000 S. MAY AVENUE OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73108

Federal Tax Id: 56-0885615 For Services Rendered through May 31, 2022
 Invoice No:
 21582658R

 Invoice Date:
 May 31, 2022

 Invoice Amount:
 \$136,818.80

Project No: 197385001.A Project Name: OKC RTA AA NEPA STUDY Project Manager: SCANLON, LIZ

Client Reference: YEAR 2 TASK ORDER

COST PLUS MAX

Description	Contract Value	% Billed to Date	Amount Billed to Date	Previous Amount Billed	Current Amount Due
TASK 1: PROJECT MANAGEMENT	134,500.00	90.34%	121,500.66	110,230.57	11,270.09
TASK 2: PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	226,500.00	53.05%	120,163.38	90,514.45	29,648.93
TASK 4: ALTERNTATIVES ANALYSIS PROCESS	272,600.00	70.54%	192,286.44	171,658.31	20,628.13
TASK 5: STATION AREA AND LAND USE ANALYSIS	20,200.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00
TASK 6: RAIL OPERATIONS PLANNING	397,374.40	74.53%	296,155.24	273,448.91	22,706.33
TASK 7: TRAVEL DEMAND/RIDERSHIP FORECASTING	44,900.00	18.94%	8,502.80	8,502.80	0.00
80 2022 FTA MEGA GRANT	54,585.83	99.05%	54,067.01	3,125.99	50,941.02
ALLOCATION	439.77	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00
KHA EXPENSES	23,000.00	39.42%	9,067.63	7,443.33	1,624.30
Subtotal	1,174,100.00	68.29%	801,743.16	664,924.36	136,818.80
Total COST PLUS MAX					136,818.80

Total Invoice: \$136,818.80



REMIT PAYMENT TO: EMBARK - Accts Receivable 2000 S. May | Oklahoma City, OK 73108 embarkok@okc.gov

Bill To:	Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma	Phone:	Invoice #: 2022-112
Address:	2000 S May Avenue Oklahoma City, OK 73108	Email:	Invoice Date:7/1/2022

Invoice For: Administrative Services

Item #	Description	Qty	Unit Price	Discount	Price
1	Admin Services Fee -June 2022 (Local Match)	1	\$2,288.00		\$2,288.00
2	Reimbursement for the Distribution of the Press Release for the RTA Virtual Town Hall Meeting	1	\$150.00		\$150.00
					3
NOTES: RTA	PO # 2022-001			Invoice Subtotal	\$2,438.00
				Tax Rate	\$2,700.00
				Sales Tax	\$0.00
				Other	
				Deposit Received	
Make all chec	cks payable to EMBARK			TOTAL	\$2,438.00



Bill To

EMBRK-01 - EMBARK Staplegun Design LLC (Contractor) 2014 N Robinson Ave, Ste 2000 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

Date Invoice # 6/29/2022 11701

	S.O. No.	P.O. 1	No.	Terms	3	Project
	EMBRK-01-3			Net 15		EMBRK-01 Public
Description			Inv	voiced		Amount
Public relations execution (hourly work)*-RTA *Invoice detail sent as a separate attachment June 2022				1		150.00
NET 15			Та	otal		\$150.00
REMIT ADDRESS: PO BOX 21228, DEPT 310			Pa	yments/C	redits	\$0.00
TULSA, OK 74121			B	alance	Due	\$150.00

Period: 07/01/2022 to 07/31/2022 Invoice Date Vendor Description Cost Total No. 8/5/2022 Holmes & Associates LLC Consultant Fees - Labor 822 \$ 16,195.00 Consultant Fees - Travel 822 \$ 2,870.00 Consultant Fees - Cost Reimb. 822 \$ 1,829.45 20,894.45 6/30/2022 Kimley-Horn Task 1 - Project Management 21999272 \$ 11,272.31 Kimley-Horn Task 2 - Public Engagement 21999272 \$ 29,739.07 Kimley-Horn Task 3 - Prior Studies Assess 21999272 \$ Kimley-Horn Task 4 - AA Process 21999272 \$ 30,274.11 Task 5 - Station Area/Land Use Kimley-Horn 21999272 \$. Analysis Kimley-Horn Task 6 - Rail Ops Planning 21999272 \$ Kimley-Horn Task 7 - Travel/Rider Forecast 21999272 \$ 3,720.11 Kimley-Horn Task 8 - FTA Cap Grant 21999272 \$ Kimley-Horn **NEPA Documentation** 21999272 \$ Kimley-Horn Expenses 21999272 \$ 1,840.09 76,845.69 6/30/2022 IndaGo Digital, Inc. Website Hosting - Q3 2022 1518 \$ 125.00 ¢ 125.00 6/1/2022 Republic Parking Parking Fees - May 2422893 \$ 14.00 7/1/2022 Republic Parking Parking Fees - June 2423247 \$ 9.00 ŝ 23.00 8/1/2022 COTPA Admin Services Fee 2023-101 \$ 2,288.00 COTPA Journal Record - Virtual Town Hall 2023-101 S 13.50 COTPA Journal Record - FY23 Budget 2023-101 \$ 55.10 COTPA **APTA Conference Registration** 2023-101 \$ 1,690.00 ŝ 4,046.60 **Total Claims** \$ 101,934.74

Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma Payment Claims

APPROVED:

James P. Boggs

Date

RATIFIED and APPROVED by the Treasurer and Chairman of the Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma, this <u>15th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2022.

SEAL OFFICIAL OFFICIAL REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY ATTEST: OF CENTRAL OKCAHOMA Mary Mélon, Secrétary Brad Henry, Chairman

HOLMES & ASSOCIATES LLC

Holmes & Associates LLC 910 S Donner Way #304 Salt Lake City, UT 84108 Phone: 703.999.4440 E-Mail: kathryn@holmesassociatesllc.com

EIN: 82-1144150 Supplier ID: 231866 P.O # 2021-003

Client

RTA

2000 S. May Oklahoma City, OK 73108 ATTN: James P. Boggs boggsedmondrta@cox.net ATTN: Suzanne Wickenkamp suzanne.wickenkamp@okc.gov

Hours/Qty Amount Rate Description Biller Date \$16,195.00 410.00 39.50 RTA - TIME: Time billed by K KAH 7/01-Holmes for the period 7/31/2022 7/01/2022 to 7/31/2022 \$2,870.00 14 205.00 RTA - TIME: Travel time billed KAH 7/01by K Holmes for the period 7/31/2022 7/01/2022 to 7/31/2022 \$1,829.45 RTA - COSTS: Total costs KAH 7/01incurred by KAH 7/31/2022 **Invoice Balance Due** \$20,894.45 We appreciate your business. Please make checks payable to "Holmes & Associates LLC." Thank you.

August 5, 2022

Invoice #822

Kimley »Horn ____

Please remit payment electronically to:	If paying by check, please remit to:
Account Name:KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.Bank Name and Address:WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., SAN FRANCISCOAccount Number:2073089159554ABA#:121000248	KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

RTA OF CENTRAL OK ATTN: JASON FERBRACHE 2000 S. MAY AVENUE OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73108

Federal Tax Id: 56-0885615 For Services Rendered through Jun 30, 2022
 Invoice No:
 21999272

 Invoice Date:
 Jun 30, 2022

 Invoice Amount:
 \$76,845.69

Project No: 197385001.A Project Name: OKC RTA AA NEPA STUDY Project Manager: SCANLON, LIZ

Client Reference: YEAR 2 TASK ORDER

COST PLUS MAX

Total COST PLUS MAX					76,845.69
Subtotal	1,174,100.00	74.83%	878,588.85	801,743.16	76,845.69
KHA EXPENSES	23,000.00	47.42%	10,907.72	9,067.63	1,840.09
80 2022 FTA MEGA GRANT	54,585.83	99.05%	54,067.01	54,067.01	0.00
TASK 7: TRAVEL DEMAND/RIDERSHIP FORECASTING	44,900.00	27.22%	12,222.91	8,502.80	3,720.11
TASK 6: RAIL OPERATIONS PLANNING	393,874.40	75.19%	296,155.24	296,155.24	0.00
TASK 5: STATION AREA AND LAND USE ANALYSIS	20,200.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00
TASK 4: ALTERNTATIVES ANALYSIS PROCESS	272,600.00	81.64%	222,560.55	192,286.44	30,274.11
TASK 2: PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	226,500.00	66.18%	149,902.45	120,163.38	29,739.07
TASK 1: PROJECT MANAGEMENT	138,439.77	95.91%	132,772.97	121,500.66	11,272.31
Description	Contract Value	% Billed to Date	Amount Billed to Date	Previous Amount Billed	Current Amount Due

Total Invoice: \$76,845.69

IndaGo Digital, Inc.

GO

500 S Lynn Riggs #214 Claremore, OK 74017 US +1 9186305255 andrea@indagodigital.us indagodigital.us

INVOICE

BILL TO	INVOICE	1518
Michael Scroggins	DATE	06/30/2022
Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma	TERMS	Net 30
2000 S May Ave	DUE DATE	07/30/2022
Oklahoma City, OK 73108 USA		

DATE

07/01/2022	Website Hosting	rtaok.org - Q3 2022	1	125.00	125.00
		······································			

BALANCE DUE

\$125.00

127340 RTA (TE) 2422893 Total Due: \$14.00

Printed on 6/7/2022

PAYMENT DUE UPON RECEIPT OF INVOICE, THANK YOU

	Total Charges	\$14.00		
	Please detach and ret	urn this stub with your payment	Account Location Invoice	127340 129-64V Art Distric 2422893 6/1/2022
TA (TE)			Total Due	\$14.00
2000 S MAY DKC OK 73108		Remit To: C.O.T.P.A. C/O REPUBLIC PARK P.O. BOX 2404		xlosed

PAYMENT DUE UPON RECEIPT OF INVOICE, THANK YOU **Description of Billing** 3 VALIDATED TKTS @ \$3.00 FOR JUNE 2022 DAILY PARKING Charges Parking \$9.00 **Total Charges** \$9.00

	Please detach and return this stub with your payment	Account	127340
		Location	129-64V Art District
		Invoice	2423247 7/1/2022
		Total Due	\$9.00
RTA (TE)			
2000 S MAY	Remit To:	Amount End	losed
DKC OK 73108	C.O.T.P.A.		
	C/O REPUBLIC PARK	ING SYSTEM	//S
	P.O. BOX 2404		
	OKLAHOMA CITY, OK	(73101	



CENTRAL OKLAHOMA TRANSPORTATION & PARKING AUTHORITY

REMIT PAYMENT TO: EMBARK - Accts Receivable 2000 S. May | Oklahoma City, OK 73108 embarkok@okc.gov

Invoice #: 2023-101

Invoice Date:8/1/2021

- Bill To: **Regional Transportation** Authority of Central Oklahoma
- Address: 2000 S May Avenue Oklahoma City, OK 73108

Invoice For: Administrative Services

Item #	Description	Qty	Unit Price	Discount	Price
1	Admin Services Fee -July 2022 (Local Match)	1	\$2,288.00		\$2,288.00
2	Reimb Legal Notice - Journal Record - Virtual Town Hall	1	\$13.50		\$13.50
3	Reimb Legal Notice - Journal Record - FY23 Budget	1	\$55.10		\$55.10
4	APTA Conference Registration	2	\$845.00		\$1,690.00
NOTES: RTA	PO # 2023-003			Invoice Subtotal	\$4,046.60
				Tax Rate	
				Sales Tax	\$0.00
				Other	
				Deposit Received	





Journal Record Publishing Company

211 N Robinson, Suite 2018 Oklahoma City, OK 73102

PUBLISHER'S AFFIDAVIT

Public Notice

NUMBER

PUBLICATION DATES

06/14/2022

(MS12127890) PUBLIC NOTICE NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that the Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma

LEGAL NOTICE

STATE OF OKLAHOMA

COUNTY OF OKLAHOMA

I, of tawful age, being duly swom, em a legal representative of The Journal Record of Oklahoma City, Oklahoma, a daily newspaper of general circulation in Oklahoma County, Oklahoma, printed in the English Language and published in the City of Oklahoma City, in Oklahoma County, State of Oklahoma, continuously and uninterruptedly published in the County for a period of more than 104 consecutive weeks prior to the first publication of the attached notice, and having a paid general subscription circulation therein and with admission to the United States mails as paid second-class mail matter.

S.S

That sold notice a true copy of which is attached hereto, was published in the regular edition of sold newspaper during the period and time of publication and not in a supplement on the ABOVE LISTED DATE(S).

Sales Director

Subscribed and swom before me this 14th day of June, 2022

www. 10001243 EXP. 02118/26 Ð

MaRanda Beeson, Notary Public Control

Comission Number: My Comission Expires:

10001243 02/18/2026

Order Number

Publisher's Fee

12127890

\$ 13,50

Page 1 of 1

(MS12127890) PUBLIC NOTICE

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that the Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahom (RTA) will be holding a Virtual Town Hallon June 15, 2022, at 6:30 p.m., on Zoom The details are listed below.

Zoom Link: https://tinyutl.com/RTATownHall Webinar ID: 988 3181 7093 Via Toil Free Telephone (audio only): 833-548-0282

The recording will be available on the project website at RTAMoyes.com the following day. RTA Board Members may attend this Virtual Town Hall as members of the public but will not be conducting business of the RTA.

(6-14-22)

гадетогі

The Journal Record

a division of BridgeTower Media PO Box 745929 Atlanta, GA 30374-5929 1 (612) 333-4244

Embark 2000 S May Ave Oklahoma City, OK 73108-4446

	Account #
	10000984
	Invoice Date
	5/11/2022
	Invoice#
	745402352
	Order #
	12115328
	Terms
	ON RECEIPT
	PO/Case #
	Fiscal Year 2023 Bud
Beakage	Salesperson

Days/Inserts	Description	Size/Qty	Amount
2	(MS12115328) (Published in the Journal Record May 4 and May 11, 2022) NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, that the Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma (RTA) will be holding a public hearing on May 18, 2022, at 2:30 p.m., at		
Z	Government / Other	1col x 3.71in 190 wrd / 36 in	
	05/04/2022,5/11 Total Charges		55.10
			-
		TOTAL DUE	55.10

---- -----

INVOICE

Acceptable Payment Methods:

To Pay by Check: BridgeTower OpCo, LLC PO Box 745929 Atlanta, GA 30374-5929

To Pay by ACH: Bank: Bank of America Contact Linda Burnette Account Number: 237025443017 Routing Number: 053000196 To Pay by Credit Card: Contact Accounts Receivable: 866-802-8214 Please have your Invoice Number and Credit Card Number Ready Thank you for your recent purchase with APTA. Your receipt is below.

Customer: Mrs. Heather Padjen Date: 7/8/2022 Confirmation #: 019398

Total: 1690.00 Payment: 1690.00 Payment Method: MC 55******7062 Balance: 0.00

Bill To:

Mrs. Heather Padjen Administrative Coordinator Embark 2000 S May Avenue Oklahoma City, OK 73108

Line Items:

Customer	Qty Item	Sub- Total Pa	aid Balance
Mr. James Boggs	1 2022 Transit Board Members stamdard fee	845.00 845.	.00 0.00
Mr. Brad Henry	1 2022 Transit Board Members stamdard fee	845.00 845.	.00 0.00



American Public Transportation Association 1300 I Street NW | Suite 1200 East | Washington, DC 20005 (202) 496-4800 | www.apta.com

Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma Payment Claims

Period:	08/01/2022 to 08/31/2	022				
Date	Vendor	Description	Invoice No.	_	Cost	Total
9/1/2022	Holmes & Associates LLC	Consultant Fees - Labor	922	\$	24,497.50	
		Consultant Fees - Travel	922	\$	2,870.00	
		Consultant Fees - Cost Reimb.	922	\$	1,209.42	
						\$ 28,576.92
		YEAR 2	_			
7/14/2022	Kimley-Horn	Task 1 - Project Management	22239728	\$	2,094.64	
	Kimley-Horn	Task 2 - Public Engagement	22239728	\$	1,387.63	
	Kimley-Horn	Task 4 - AA Process	22239728	\$	10,711.78	
	Kimley-Horn	Task 6 - Rail Ops Planning	22239728	\$	32,111.37	
	Kimley-Horn	Expenses	22239728	\$	1,970.44	
						\$ 48,275.86
		YEAR 3				
7/31/2022	Kimley-Horn	Task 1 - Project Management	22239623	\$	3,005.00	
	Kimley-Horn	Task 2 - Public Engagement	22239623	\$	1,610.00	
	Kimley-Horn	Task 4 - AA Process	22239623	\$	11,970.00	
						\$ 16,585.00
9/1/2022	СОТРА	Admin Services Fee	2023-102	\$	2,288.00	
	1					\$ 2,288.00
9/1/2022	Brad Henry	Travel Reimbursement		\$	2,404.59	
						\$ 2,404.59
	То	tal Claims				\$ 98,130.37

APPROVED by the Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma, and SIGNED by the Treasurer and Chairman on this <u>15th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2022.

TREASURER:

James P. Boggs

ATTEST: Mary Mélon, Secretary

SEAL OFFICIAL OFFICIAL

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL ØKLAHOMA

ma Ukm

Brad Henry, Chairman



HOLMES & ASSOCIATES LLC

Holmes & Associates LLC 910 S Donner Way #304 Salt Lake City, UT 84108 Phone: 703.999.4440 E-Mail: kathryn@holmesassociatesllc.com

EIN: 82-1144150 Supplier ID: 231866 P.O # 2021-003 Invoice #922

September 1, 2022

Client	
RTA	
2000 S. May	
Oklahoma City, OK 73108	
ATTN: James P. Boggs	
boggsedmondrta@cox.net	
ATTN: Suzanne Wickenkamp	
suzanne.wickenkamp@okc.gov	

Date	Biller	Description	Hours/Qty	Rate	Amount
8/01– 8/31/2022	КАН	RTA - TIME: Time billed by K Holmes for the period 8/01/2022 to 8/31/2022	59.75	410.00	
8/01- 8/31/2022	KAH	RTA - TIME: Travel time billed by K Holmes for the period 8/01/2022 to 8/31/2022	14	205.00	\$2,870.00
8/01- 8/31/2022	КАН	RTA - COSTS: Total costs incurred by KAH			\$1,209.42
We appreciate make checks p Associates LL	ayable to "He	olmes &	oice Balanc	e Due	\$28,576.92

Kimley»Horn_

Invoice for Professional Services

Please remit payment el	lectronically to:	If paying by check, please remit to:
Account Name: Bank Name and Address: Account Number: ABA#:	KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94163 2073089159554 121000248	KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. P.O. BOX 913221 DENVER, CO 80291-3221

RTA OF CENTRAL OK ATTN: JASON FERBRACHE 2000 S. MAY AVENUE OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73108

Federal Tax Id: 56-0885615 For Services Rendered July 1 - July 14, 2022
 Invoice No:
 22239728

 Invoice Date:
 July 14, 2022

 Invoice Amount:
 \$48,275.86

Project No:197385001.AProject Name:OKC RTA AA NEPA STUDYProject Manager:SCANLON, LIZ

Client Reference: YEAR 2 TASK ORDER

COST PLUS MAX

Description	Contract Value	% Billed to Date	Amount Billed to Date	Previous Amount Billed	Current Amount Due
TASK 1: PROJECT MANAGEMENT	138,439.77	97.42%	134,867.61	132,772.97	2,094.64
TASK 2: PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	229,500.00	65.92%	151,290.08	149,902.45	1,387.63
TASK 4: ALTERNTATIVES ANALYSIS PROCESS	272,600.00	85.57%	233,272.33	222,560.55	10,711.78
TASK 5: STATION AREA AND LAND USE ANALYSIS	20,200.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00
TASK 6: RAIL OPERATIONS PLANNING	393,874.40	83.34%	328,266.61	296,155.24	32,111.37
TASK 7: TRAVEL DEMAND/RIDERSHIP FORECASTING	44,900.00	27.22%	12,222.91	12,222.91	0.00
80 2022 FTA MEGA GRANT	54,585.83	99.05%	54,067.01	54,067.01	0.00
KHA EXPENSES	23,000.00	55.99%	12,878.16	10,907.72	1,970.44
Subtotal	1,177,100.00	78.74%	926,864.71	878,588.85	48,275.86
Total COST PLUS MAX					48,275.86

Total Invoice: \$48,275.86

Kimley »Horn_____

Please remit payment e	lectronically to:	If paying by check, please remit to:
Account Name: Bank Name and Address: Account Number: ABA#:	KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A., SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94163 2073089159554 121000248	KIMLEY-HORN AND ASSOCIATES, INC. P.O. BOX 847385 LOS ANGELES, CA 90084-7385

RTA OF CENTRAL OK ATTN: JASON FERBRACHE 2000 S. MAY AVENUE OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73108

Federal Tax Id: 56-0885615 For Services Rendered July 15 through July 31, 2022
 Invoice No:
 22239623

 Invoice Date:
 Jul 31, 2022

 Invoice Amount:
 \$16,585.00

Project No: 197385001.B Project Name: OKC RTA AA NEPA STUDY Project Manager: SCANLON, LIZ

Client Reference: YEAR 3 TASK ORDER

COST PLUS MAX

Description	Contract Value	% Billed to Date	Amount Billed to Date	Previous Amount Billed	Current Amount Due	
TASK 1: PROJECT MANAGEMENT	97,742.00	3.07%	3,005.00	0.00	3,005.00	
TASK 2: PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT	106,333.00	1.51%	1,610.00	0.00	1,610.00	
TASK 4: ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS - EAST CORRIDOR	219,555.00	5.45%	11,970.00	0.00	11,970.00	
TASK 5: STATION ARE AND LAND USE ANALYSIS	54,610.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
TASK 6: RAIL OPERATIONS PLANNING - NORTH/SOUTH CORRIDOR	246,632.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
TASK 7: TRAVEL DEMAND/RIDERSHIP FORECASTING	234,779.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
TASK 8: FTA CAPITAL GRANT AND FINANCIAL PLAN SUPPORT	67,178.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
TASK 9: NEPA DOCUMENTATION	55,233.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
KHA EXPENSES	17,000.00	0.00%	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Subtotal	1,099,062.00	1.51%	16,585.00	0.00	16,585.00	
Total COST PLUS MAX	Total COST PLUS MAX 16,585.00					

Total Invoice: \$16,585.00



REMIT PAYMENT TO: EMBARK - Accts Receivable 2000 S. May | Oklahoma City, OK 73108 embarkok@okc.gov

Bill To:	Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma	Invoice #: 2023-102
Address:	2000 S May Avenue Oklahoma City, OK 73108	Invoice Date:9/1/2021

Invoice For: Administrative Services

Item #	Description	Qty	Unit Price	Discount	Price
1	Admin Services Fee -August 2022	1	\$2,288.00		\$2,288.00
NOTES: RTAI	PO # 2023-003			Invoice Subtotal	\$2,288.00
				Tax Rate	
				Sales Tax	\$0.00
			Other		
				Deposit Received	
Make all cheo	cks payable to EMBARK			TOTAL	\$2,288.00



2600 John Saxon Blvd Norman, OK 73071

Office (405) 253-8500 Fax (405) 253-8509

C. Brad Henry RTA Travel Expense Reimbursement Requ Travel Dates: July 29 – August 3, 2022 Location: Salt Lake City, UT Purpose: American Public Transportation		erence			
1) Airfare (American Airlines, Economy Cla Attachments 1 – 4	ass)			\$	723.20
2) Hotel (Salt Lake Marriott City Center, ro Attachment 5	oom and taxe	es)		1	,337.37
3) Meals (food, snacks, soft drinks):					
7/29/2022 -	total.	270.00			
Attachments 6, 7	ioial.	278.89	reimbursable		65.00
7/30/2022 –	total	21.57	reimbursable		24 57
Attachment 5	total	21.57	reimbursable		21.57
7/31/2022 -	total	136.81	reimbursable		65.00
Attachments 5, 8	total	130.01	reimbursable		65.00
8/01/2022 -	total	196.79	reimbursable		65.00
Attachments 5, 9	total	190.79	reinibursable		65.00
8/02/2022 -	total	22.66	reimbursable		22.00
Attachment 5	cocar	22.00	Tennbursable		22.66
8/03/2022 -	total	32.79	reimbursable		22.70
Attachment 10	total	52.75	rembursable		32.79
4) Airport Parking (6 days @ \$12/day) Attachment 11					72.00
				-	
		TOTAL EX	(PENSES S	\$ 2,4	404.59

Note: It is my understanding that the maximum reimbursement for meals (food, snacks and soft drinks) is \$65.00/day. However, I am not certain of this, but that is the figure used in the above calculation.

Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma FY2022 Year End Actuals

Presented September 15, 2022

Prepared by RTA	Support Team	(unaudited)
-----------------	--------------	-------------

OPERATIONS	Total YE Actuals	FY22		
Sources	Forecast	Budget	Variance	Variance %
Local Contributions	\$1,232,970	\$1,348,761	-\$115,791	
Total Operations Revenues	\$1,232,970	\$1,348,761	-\$115,791	-9%
Expenditures	Total YE	FY22		
Contracts and Services	Forecast	Budget	Variance	Variance %
Professional Services - COTPA Administration	\$29,744	\$27,460	-\$2,284	
Professional Services - Holmes & Associates	\$263,801	\$301,080	\$37,279	
Professional Services - Kimley Horn ⁽¹⁾	\$424,149	\$872,621	\$448,472	
Transfer to Grant Activity for Local Grant Match (2)	\$86,086	\$51,040	-\$35,046	
Professional Services-Legal	\$0	\$9,000	\$9,000	
Independent Financial Audit	\$8,700	\$15,000	\$6,300	
Website Hosting Fee	\$638	\$2,500	\$1,862	
Branding	\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000	
Conference/Training	\$0	\$7,850	\$7,850	
Directors & Officer Liability Insurance	\$3,138	\$3,500	\$362	
Advertising/Public Notice	\$217	\$1,000	\$783	
Printing & Binding	\$0	\$20	\$20	
Postage	\$0	\$100	\$100	
Mileage	\$0	\$20	\$20	
Parking	\$62	\$50	-\$12	
Travel	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000	
Polling Services	\$0	\$26,000	\$26,000	
Other Services & Fees	\$47	\$0	-\$47	
Total Contracts and Services	\$816,582	\$1,347,241	\$530,659	39%
Equipment and Supplies				
Office Supplies	\$0	\$320	\$320	
Food	\$0	\$1,000	\$1,000	
Other Supplies	\$0	\$200	\$200	
Total Equipment and Supplies	\$0	\$1,520	\$1,520	100%
Total Operations Expenditures	\$816,582	\$1,348,761	\$532,179	39%

⁽¹⁾ Grants Funds are forecasted to exhausted during FY22; future Kimley Horn expenses will be paid directly from Operations.

 $^{\scriptscriptstyle (2)}$ This is the 20% match to the grant for the Kimley Horn expense.

GRANT ACTIVITY	Total YE	FY22		
Sources	Forecast	Budget	Variance	Variance %
Federal Grant ⁽³⁾	\$415,307	\$309,161	-\$106,146	
Transfer from Operations for Local Grant Match $^{\rm (4)}$	\$86,086	\$51,040	-\$35,046	
Total Grant Revenues	\$501,393	\$360,201	-\$141,192	-39%
Expenditures	Total YE	FY22		
Contracts and Services	Forecast	Budget	Variance	Variance %
Professional Services - Kimley Horn	\$430,430	\$360,201	-\$70,229	
Total Grant Expenditures	\$430,430	\$360,201	-\$70,229	-19%

⁽³⁾ This revenue is reimbursement from COTPA for 80% of Kimley Horn expense. Some previous FY21 Grant Invoices were deposited in August.

⁽⁴⁾ This revenue is the 20% local match for the Kimley Horn expenses.

FY22 Beginning Cash Balance	\$803,341
FY22 Ending Cash Balance (Actuals)	\$1,219,729

Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma

FY2023 Year End Forecast

Presented September 15, 2022 Prepared by RTA Support Team (unaudited)

OPERATIONS	YTD Actuals	Est. Remaining	Total YE	FY23		
Sources	Jul-Aug	Sep-Jun	Forecast	Budget	Variance	Variance %
Local Contributions	\$0	\$1,289,032	\$1,289,032	\$1,409,753	-\$120,721	
Total Operations Revenues	\$0	\$1,289,032	\$1,289,032	\$1,409,753	-\$120,721	-9%
Expenditures	YTD Actuals	Est. Remaining	Total YE	FY23		
Contracts and Services	Jul-Aug	Sep-Jun	Forecast	Budget	Variance	Variance %
Professional Services - COTPA Administration	\$4,576	\$22,884	\$27,460	\$27,460	\$0	
Professional Services - Holmes & Associates	\$38,434	\$395,500	\$433,934	\$444,900	\$10,966	
Professional Services - Kimley Horn ⁽¹⁾	\$213,664	\$904,001	\$1,117,665	\$1,121,110	\$3,445	
Transfer to Grant Activity for Local Grant Match ⁽²⁾	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$0	
BNSF Study Fee	\$0	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$250,000	\$0	
Professional Services-Legal	\$0	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$9,000	\$0	
Independent Financial Audit	\$0	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$15,000	\$0	
Website Hosting Fee	\$275	\$2,225	\$2,500	\$2,500	\$0	
Branding	\$0	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$10,000	\$0	
Conference/Training	\$1,690	\$6,160	\$7,850	\$7,850	\$0	
Directors & Officer Liability Insurance	\$0	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$3,500	\$0	
Advertising/Public Notice	\$69	\$909	\$978	\$1,000	\$22	
Printing & Binding	\$0	\$20	\$20	\$20	\$0	
Postage	\$0	\$90	\$90	\$100	\$10	
Mileage	\$0	\$10	\$10	\$20	\$10	
Parking	\$23	\$27	\$50	\$50	\$0	
Travel	\$0	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$20,000	\$0	
Polling Services	\$0	\$26,000	\$26,000	\$26,000	\$0	
Other Services & Fees	\$0	\$270	\$270	\$300	\$30	
Total Contracts and Services	\$258,731	\$1,865,596	\$2,124,327	\$2,138,810	\$14,483	1%
Equipment and Supplies						
Office Supplies	\$0	\$320	\$320	\$320	\$0	
Food	\$0	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$0	
Other Supplies	\$0	\$200	\$200	\$200	\$0	
Total Equipment and Supplies	\$0	\$1,520	\$1,520	\$1,520	\$0	0%
Total Operations Expenditures	\$258,731	\$1,867,116	\$2,125,847	\$2,140,330	\$14,483	1%

(1) This reflects estimated expenses from two invoices carried over from FY22 plus 10 months of projected expenditures for Kimley Horn's Year 3 contract.

(2) This is the 33% local match required for the RAISE grant based on estimated consultant cost.

GRANT ACTIVITY	YTD Actuals	Est. Remaining	Total YE	FY23		
Sources	Jul-Aug	Sep-Jun	Forecast	Budget	Variance	Variance %
Federal Grant ⁽³⁾	\$0	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$400,000	\$0	
Transfer from Operations for Local Grant Match ⁽⁴⁾	\$0	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$200,000	\$0	
Total Grant Revenues	\$0	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$0	0%
Expenditures	YTD Actuals	Est. Remaining	Total YE	FY23		
Contracts and Services	Jul-Aug	Sep-Jun	Forecast	Budget	Variance	Variance %
Professional Services - Kimley Horn	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Professional Services - RAISE Grant Consultant Fees	\$0	\$240,000	\$240,000	\$600,000	\$360,000	
Total Grant Expenditures	\$0	\$240,000	\$240,000	\$600,000	\$360,000	60%

(3) This revenue is reimbursement from COTPA for 67% of consultant fees for the RAISE grant study.

(4) This revenue is the 33% RTA local match for RAISE grant consultant fees.

FY23 Beginning Cash Balance	\$1,219,729
FY23 Ending Cash Balance (Forecast)	\$382,914





TO: Chairman and Board of Directors

FROM: Interim Executive Director

Consider adopting a resolution updating prior resolution appointing official custodians of the Regional Transit Authority's funds, by adding a provision to include authority to approve collateral transfers.

Background The Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma (RTA) approved a resolution appointing official custodians of the Authority's funds on February 25, 2020. The resolution provided that the custodians of the RTA funds have plenary authority, including control, over funds owned by the Authority.

The RTA's insured deposit institutions are required to allocate collateral based on the RTA's account balance less the FDIC insured amount to the Federal Reserve Bank and periodically make adjustments thereto. The attached resolution ensures the custodians also have the authority to approve collateral transfers between RTA insured deposit institutions and the Federal Reserve Bank in amounts and as recommended by RTA's insured deposit institutions. The resolution, once effective, supersedes all prior authorizations.

Recommendation: Resolution be adopted.

Reviewed by:

Jason Ferbrache Interim Executive Director

RESOLUTION NO. 23-001

RESOLUTION UPDATING PRIOR RESOLUTION APPOINTING OFFICIAL CUSTODIANS OF THE REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY'S FUNDS, BY ADDING A PROVISION TO INCLUDE AUTHORITY TO APPROVE COLLATERAL TRANSFERS.

WHEREAS, the Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma (RTA) approved a Resolution Appointing Official Custodians of the Regional Transportation Authority's Funds on February 25, 2020 ("2020 Resolution"); and

WHEREAS, the 2020 Resolution listed C. Brad Henry, Marion F. Hutchison II, James P. Boggs, and Jason Ferbrache as custodians of the funds; and

WHEREAS, the 2020 Resolution provided that the custodians of the RTA funds have plenary authority, including control, over funds owned by the Authority; and

WHEREAS, the 2020 Resolution provided that control includes possession, as well as the authority to establish accounts for the funds in insured depository institutions and to make deposits, withdrawals and disbursements of such funds; and

WHEREAS, the 2020 Resolution provided that two (2) signatures shall be required on checks against the accounts; and

WHEREAS, the RTA's insured deposit institutions are required to allocate collateral based on the RTA's account balance less the FDIC insured amount to the Federal Reserve Bank and periodically make adjustments thereto; and

WHEREAS, the RTA wants to ensure the custodians also have the authority to approve collateral transfers between RTA insured deposit institutions and the Federal Reserve Bank in amounts and as recommended by RTA's insured deposit institutions; and

WHEREAS, the RTA desires to update the 2020 Resolution by retaining its present terms and adding a provision that grants the custodians the authority to approve collateral transfers between RTA insured deposit institutions and the Federal Reserve Bank in amounts and as recommended by RTA's insured deposit institutions.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED the RTA Board of Directors appoints as official custodians of RTA funds those whose signatures appear below:

C. Brad Henry, Chair Marlon F. Hutchison II, Vice-Chair James P. Boggs, Treasurer Jason Ferbrache, Interim Executive Director

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the RTA Board of Directors hereby declare:

- A. Each of the custodians have plenary authority, including control, over funds owned by the Authority; and
- B. Control includes possession, as well as the authority to establish accounts for the funds in insured depository institutions and to make deposits, withdrawals, and disbursements of such funds; and
- C. Each of the custodians are authorized to approve collateral transfers between RTA insured deposit institutions and the Federal Reserve Bank in amounts and as recommended by RTA's insured deposit institutions; and
- D. Two (2) signatures shall be required on checks against the accounts.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED this resolution supersedes all prior authorizations, which are hereby canceled.

APPROVED by the Board of Directors and SIGNED by the Chairperson of the Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma this <u>15th</u> day of <u>September</u>, 2022.

ATTEST:

Mary Mélon. Secretary

REVIEWED for form and legality.

Joshua Minner Assistant Municipal Counselor

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY OF CENTRAL OKLAHOMA

Brad Henry, Chairperson SEAT





TO: Chairman and Board of Directors

FROM: Interim Executive Director

Consider approving Request for Proposals for professional services to perform an Alternatives Analysis study for two transit corridors in the Central Oklahoma Region (RTA 22-001); and authorize the Interim Executive Director to advertise.

Background A Request for Proposals (RFP) has been developed in order to solicit professional services to perform an Alternatives Analysis (AA) study for the Economic Development and Equity Inclusion Project ("EDEI Project") for two corridors in the Central Oklahoma Region. Multiple transportation options will be reviewed in each corridor, including rail, highway, and arterial streets. The two corridor locations that will be studied as part of the EDEI Project are an Airport Corridor and a West Corridor. The Airport Corridor will connect Downtown Oklahoma City to the Will Rogers World Airport. The West Corridor will study connections from Downtown Oklahoma City west towards the cities of Yukon and Mustang in its study area.

The selected consultant will conduct an AA study on these two corridors to identify the costs, benefits, environmental and social impacts, and financial feasibility of the corridors. The goals of this study are to provide the necessary land use and transportation technical analysis including stakeholder and public outreach to support the selection by the RTA of Locally Preferred Alternatives (LPA) for the region.

The study is being funded by the Department of Transportation's (DOT) Rebuilding American Infrastructure with Sustainability and Equity (RAISE) Discretionary Grant program.

<u>Recommendation</u>: Request for Proposals be approved, and the Interim Executive Director be authorized to advertise.

Reviewed by:

Jason Ferbrache Interim Executive Director



REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS (RFP)

RTA ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS FOR THE CENTRAL OKLAHOMA REGIONAL TRANSIT CORRIDORS TO PROMOTE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND EQUITY INCLUSION PROJECT

RELEASE DATE: October 3, 2022

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	OVERVIEW	3
II.	ABOUT RTA	3
III.	BACKGROUND	3
Α.	Previous Regional Transit Studies	4
В.	Studies Currently Underway	4
C.	Alternatives Analysis for Transit Corridors to Promote Economic Development an Equity Inclusion Project	5
IV.	SCOPE OF WORK	5
A. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.	Project Management and Coordination Meetings Project Management Plan Project Management Meetings Project Coordination / Documentation / Correspondence Monthly Reporting / Invoices Quality Assurance / Quality Control Project Control System	6 7 7 7
B. 1. 2. 3.	Public Participation Public Involvement Plan Public Outreach Content Management	8 8 9
C. 1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7. 8. 9. 10.	Alternatives Analyses Project Initiation Develop Problem Statement, Goals, Objectives and Evaluation Criteria Assessment of Alternatives Travel Demand Modeling Refine Transit Alternatives Station Location Analysis Document Station Elements and Site Envelope Develop Operational Plans Evaluate Cost, Benefits, and Impacts Locally Preferred Alternatives	10 10 11 12 12 13 13 14
V.	Anticipated Timeline	15
VI.	Consultant Requirements	16
VII.	Proposal Instructions and Guidelines	18
VIII.	Proposal Requirements and Evaluation Criteria	20
IX.	RTA Rights	22

REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS UPDATE

The Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma ("RTA") invites qualified firms or teams to submit proposals to provide consulting services in connection with RTA's desire to complete an Alternatives Analysis for the Economic Development and Equity Inclusion Project ("EDEI Project") for corridors in the Central Oklahoma Region.

I. OVERVIEW

The Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma ("RTA") seeks the assistance of a professional consultant team to conduct an Alternatives Analysis in the Central Oklahoma Region. The consultant will conduct an Alternatives Analysis (AA) on two corridors to identify the costs, benefits, environmental and social impacts, and financial feasibility of the corridors. The goals of this AA are to provide the necessary land use and transportation technical analysis including stakeholder and public outreach to support the selection by the RTA of Locally Preferred Alternatives (LPA) for the region.

The study is being funded with FTA planning funds and future activities will be supported with FTA discretionary grant funding, requiring the consultant to adhere to all applicable FTA Capital Investment Grant New Starts requirements.

II. ABOUT RTA

The Regional Transportation Authority of Central Oklahoma was created by Trust Agreement and Indenture by the governing city councils of Oklahoma City, Edmond, and Norman pursuant to the provisions of Title 68, Oklahoma Statutes 2014, Section §1370.7; Title 60, Oklahoma Statutes §176, et seq., as amended by Title 60, Chapter 4, Oklahoma Session Laws 1953; and the Oklahoma Trust Act and other applicable statutes of the State of Oklahoma for the purpose of planning, financing, constructing, maintaining, and operating transportation projects located within the boundaries of the regional transportation district.

III. BACKGROUND

In 2005, the region completed a Regional Fixed Guideway Study. That study identified potential transportation solutions that would improve connections among the greater Oklahoma City metropolitan region's growth centers; employment centers including Tinker Air Force Base, OU Health Sciences Center, and the region's colleges and universities; enhance economic development opportunities; improve mobility; expand transportation options and improve air quality.

In 2009, the Association of Central Oklahoma Governments (ACOG) initiated the Regional Transit Dialogue, a visioning process to determine the desire for expanded and enhanced regional public transportation, in cooperation with local partners. The RTD engaged local, elected officials; policy stakeholders; transit advocates; private sector leaders, and the general public to articulate how transit can serve the region in the years and decades to come. It built upon the recommendations from the 2030 Systems Plan outlined in the Fixed Guideway Study. Also, in 2009 Oklahoma City citizens voted in favor of MAPS 3, a sales tax-financed public works program, which included a \$135 million streetcar system. That system had first been conceived in the Fixed Guideway Study of 2005. More than 10 years later, with 4.9 miles of rail laid, streetcar service commenced in Oklahoma City to great fanfare in December 2018. Eventually, the streetcar will serve as an intricate

part of a comprehensive, regional transit system and will work in coordination with express buses and commuter rail.

In 2010, ACOG partnered with the Central Oklahoma Transportation and Parking Authority (COTPA), the City of Oklahoma City, and the Oklahoma Department of Transportation (ODOT) on an Intermodal Transportation Hub Study. The study involved a two-tier evaluation process that began with ten potential hub locations along major rail lines within downtown Oklahoma City. That study, which was completed in 2011, culminated in the selection of the Santa Fe Station as the regional transportation hub. A total of \$28.4 million was spent to restore and renovate the art deco structure and transform it into a transit hub to serve passenger trains, the new streetcar system, city buses, taxis and bicycle and ride-sharing services.

In February 2013, ACOG initiated the Commuter Corridors Study ("CCS") to evaluate the three transportation corridors: the north corridor between Oklahoma City and Edmond; the east corridor, connecting Oklahoma City Del City, and Midwest City (Tinker Air Force Base), and the south corridor connecting Oklahoma City, Moore and Norman. The study was completed in 2015 and approved by ACOG's Intermodal Transportation Policy Committee that same year. The study provided in-depth analysis of potential alignments, technologies, ridership forecasts and estimated costs. Although the CCS culminated in the selection of a locally preferred alternative ("LPA") for each corridor, the LPAs were never adopted into a financially constrained transportation plan.

In 2015, six local mayors signed a historic memorandum of understanding memorializing the creation of a Regional Transit Authority Task Force for Central Oklahoma. That task force was charged with developing the RTA for the region. In the years following the signing of the MOU, 2016-2018, the task force worked on RTA development including governance models; board representation and structure; voting protocols; district boundaries, and much more. In late 2018, the city councils of the six municipalities (Oklahoma City, Edmond, Norman, Moore, Midwest City, and Del City) (the initial beneficiaries) approved a Trust Agreement and Indenture creating the RTA as a public trust. The Trust Agreement and Indenture was filed with the Oklahoma Secretary of State on February 20, 2019, thus creating a regional transportation district to be governed by the Regional Transportation Authority for Central Oklahoma for the purpose of planning, financing, constructing, maintaining, and operating transportation projects located within the boundaries of the regional transportation district. The Trust Agreement and Indenture is in the process of being amended and restated to reflect the current beneficiaries of Oklahoma City, Edmond, and Norman and restate the weighted voting protocols.

A. Previous Regional Transit Studies

There have been several transit studies conducted in the Central Oklahoma Region. The studies are available for review at <u>www.rtaok.org</u>.

B. Studies Currently Underway

After more than a decade of transformative growth, the Regional Transportation Authority (RTA) of Central Oklahoma has created a Transit System Plan for its member cities: Del City, Edmond, Moore, Norman & Oklahoma City. This is a major milestone towards coordinated regional transit to complement and connect local transit with regional mobility options. The Transit System Plan is a long-term guide for the region's transportation policies, investments, and projects. It identifies projects that align with the region's goals and community input by leveraging previous studies and plans. It solidifies a vision for regional transit solutions to work toward in the future. Now that the Transit System Plan is completed, we are now advancing into an Alternatives Analysis that will evaluate corridors, type of transit, and station locations.

The RTA currently has two corridors under study: The North/South Corridor and the East Corridor.

The North-South corridor is in the BNSF right-of-way serving the region through the communities of Edmond, Oklahoma City, and Norman. The alignment and transit mode have not been finalized yet and are dependent upon RTA and BNSF reaching an agreement. RTA and BNSF are exploring this alignment option as a possibility, however, and BNSF is working to determine if the commuter service will be compatible with the freight obligations at this time. Currently, the project team is studying the type of commuter rail operation that best meets the needs of the community. Station locations will also be considered maximizing ridership and efficiency and the placement of an operations and maintenance facility.

High-capacity transit services of all types are being studied to serve the region through the east corridor communities from Oklahoma City to Tinker Airforce Base. This corridor is being fully examined for mode and alignment. The RTA will consider community demographics, travel patterns, and needs that influence the types of transit that will best-serve the corridor.

The RTA anticipates selecting a Locally Preferred Alternative for the North/South corridor and the East Corridor prior to June 30, 2023.

C. Alternatives Analysis for Transit Corridors to Promote Economic Development and Equity Inclusion Project

The Central Oklahoma Regional Transit Corridors to Promote Economic Development and Equity Inclusion Project ("EDEI Project") will conduct an Alternatives Analysis of two regional transit corridors in the Oklahoma City metropolitan area. Multiple transportation options will be reviewed in each corridor, including rail, highway, and arterial streets.

The two corridor locations that will be studied as part of the EDEI Project are an Airport Corridor and a West Corridor. The Airport Corridor will connect Downtown Oklahoma City to the Will Rogers World Airport. This corridor travels southwest of downtown and includes multiple arterial streets with active and high-ridership bus service, an active BNSF rail segment, as well as an abandoned rail corridor. The West Corridor will study connections from Downtown Oklahoma City west towards the cities of Yukon and Mustang in its study area. This corridor parallels portions of I-40, Reno Ave, and an active Union Pacific rail corridor, each of which will be reviewed in the analysis.

Both corridors provide the opportunity for new regional transit connections that do not currently exist, greatly benefitting transportation access, as well as economic development opportunities. The potential for new transit services to utilize the recently renovated Santa Fe Station in Downtown Oklahoma City will be included in the review of each corridor.

IV. SCOPE OF WORK

The scope of work presented here is intended to be an outline of work expected to be completed by the consultant. It is not intended to be a final scope and should be refined further through the proposal process and the negotiation process.

As part of this Scope of Work, the Consultant will be required to consider the impact the proposed alternatives have on minority, elderly, and low-income populations. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 prohibits discrimination based on race, color, or national origin in programs and activities receiving Federal financial assistance. Specifically, Title VI provides that "no person in the United States shall, on the ground of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance." (42 U.S.C. Section 2000d). It will be important to consider these

impacts when conducting an analysis of proposed transportation solutions.

A. Project Management and Coordination Meetings

1. Project Management Plan

The consultant shall prepare a detailed Project Operations Plan (POP) which will consist of a detailed description of tasks to be undertaken in the work program, a labor and cost budget for each task, a project schedule, a quality control/quality assurance plan, and project administrative procedures. This POP will serve as the guide for all aspects associated with management of the project and will apply to all of the consultant team members and their staffs.

<u>Activities:</u>

- Submit draft POP to RTA for review and comment
- Receive comments and revise draft as required
- Distribute final POP controlled document to RTA

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- Draft POP
- Electronic copies of a POP document, two weeks after receipt of comments, containing all elements, including a detailed description of tasks to be undertaken in the work program, a labor and cost budget for each task, a project schedule, a quality control/quality assurance plan, and project administrative procedures

2. Project Management Meetings

Per the POP, the consultant project manager shall meet with the RTA Owner's Representative and appropriate project team members including appropriate sub consultants (collectively the "Project Management Team or PMT"), to coordinate activities, review progress and budget, identify issues and courses of action needed to resolve those issues.

Activities:

- Monthly coordination meetings with RTA Owner's Representative and PMT members and others necessary to report and discuss project status and identify and resolve issues
- Develop action item lists that identify issues and the entity responsible for resolution
- Prepare and distribute meeting minutes
- Provide a monthly updated status list of task deliverables

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- Meeting minutes within five calendar days
- Materials for project and issues meetings as required
- Status list three days prior to scheduled coordination meetings

3. Project Coordination / Documentation / Correspondence

The consultant shall prepare and implement, consistent with the POP, a document control system for the project. The consultant shall prepare and distribute incoming and outgoing correspondence and maintain a project filing system.

Activities:

- Establish the document control plan and office procedures
- Provide administrative services support to consultant team members
- Establish central project filing system and library
- Prepare issue tracking documentation
- Maintain master schedule

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- Document Control Plan and Office Procedures
- Project Participant Directory
- Document Control System

4. Monthly Reporting / Invoices

The consultant shall prepare and submit monthly progress reports on a scheduled basis including:

- Estimated percentage of work completed and budget expended per major task
- Schedule activity report
- Work activities anticipated for following month for major tasks
- Existing and anticipated issues/problems that may affect the budget, schedule or work products
- Updated project schedule with milestones and deliverables
- Monthly invoices documenting project costs and remaining budget by major task.
- Periodic reporting to the Federal Transit Administration as required by the RTA Raise Grant Agreement.

Activities:

- Prepare monthly invoices and progress and schedule reports
- Review monthly invoices and progress reports of subconsultants
- Prepare monthly invoices and progress reports for the project team
- Prepare and update project schedules with milestones and deliverables

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- Monthly invoice and supporting documents.
- Monthly progress and schedule report.
- Report to FTA as required by RTA Grant Agreement.

5. Quality Assurance / Quality Control

The consultant and all subcontractors shall prepare a Quality Assurance Plan. The consultant team will comply with the Consultant's Quality Assurance Plan by designating Quality Assurance/Quality Control reviewers for each major deliverable provided to RTA.

Documentation of the QA/QC reviews will be available to for RTA review. Each QA/QC reviewer will have experience in the required discipline area for each major deliverable.

Activities:

- QA/QC review of major project deliverables
- Document QA/QC comments and disposition for project files
- Respond to RTA QA/QC audits as required

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- QA/QC stamped deliverables

6. Project Control System

The consultant team will develop a project control system to include document/record management, meeting notifications and minutes, submittal and approval of project deliverables, and invoice processing.

B. Public Participation

RTA desires to involve all regional stakeholders in the process of preparing the EDEI Alternatives Analysis. RTA seeks a consultant team that has a proven track record of engaging communities in the discussion of high capacity transit. The consultant must have the skills not only to vision with the communities about what they would like to see, but ultimately to communicate the trade-offs in terms of transportation investments during the AA process.

1. Public Involvement Plan

During the Alternatives Analysis phase, the consultant will prepare a public involvement plan (PIP) and develop and implement a public involvement approach. RTA will work closely with the consultant to develop an appropriate PIP and will be involved closely with implementation of the plan. The consultant will provide support for the approach, development, and implementation of any public involvement efforts. The PIP will build upon previous activities in the corridor. The PIP will identify roles and responsibilities for each type of activity and will work closely with the Stakeholder Advisory Committee. The consultant shall support the identification of and the communication to minority and ethnic populations along the corridor, provide outreach strategies for populations with Limited English Proficiency (LEP), and support the PIP through the preparation of technical materials for public meetings and attendance at meetings.

<u>Activities:</u>

- Prepare Public Involvement Plan

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- Public Involvement Plan

2. Public Outreach

During the course of the Alternatives Analysis, the consultant will conduct a number of public meetings to present information to the general public, as well as to receive input. The consultant will coordinate with the Stakeholder Advisory Committee to hold a series

of public workshops for the Alternatives Analysis. These workshops should inform participants on the study and allow feedback on proposed transit technologies, alignments, and community impacts. The consultant shall assist the Stakeholder Advisory Committee in preparing for these meetings, presenting technical information (when requested), and documenting the meetings. The consultant will attend a wide range of public meetings, including public open houses, station/station area planning meetings, and meetings of the RTA upon request. The consultant may be required to attend and participate in additional meetings with the cities that comprise the RTA.

Activities:

- Develop outreach strategies for a diverse population base of senior citizens, minority and ethnic population groups, and LEP population groups
- Develop outreach strategies for city staff in each of the RTA member cities
- Prepare technical information, as needed
- Prepare minutes/summaries of each meeting attended
- Provide support staff for public engagement

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- Agendas, graphics, other presentation materials (including PowerPoint), sign- in sheets, and handouts, as required, for open house.
- Minutes/summaries of each meeting.

3. Content Management

The consultant will be responsible for setting up a project website with a Content Management System component so RTA staff may easily update information on meetings and disseminate project information, maps, reports, etc. This website will be used throughout the project duration as a supplemental means to inform the general public and to receive public input. Newsletters and other public information materials will be presented on the website. RTA will have final editorial review of all digital, print and social media content related to the project.

<u>Activities:</u>

- Generate website and supporting electronic materials as required to support overall public involvement objectives.
- Prepare draft text and graphics as needed for print, online, or social media content. Materials should be translated into languages as appropriate for populations with LEP.
- Revise draft newsletters and prepare final text, graphics, and tables for each of the newsletters
- Review the final newsletter before posting on website and printing and distribution by RTA.

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- As needed, base information and updates suitable for insertion into the web pages and social media platforms.

C. Alternatives Analyses

RTA seeks a consultant with experience with AA preparation and a demonstrated track record working with the Federal Transit Administration to prepare an Alternatives Analysis and Locally Preferred Alternatives for the EDEI Project. The AA process is defined in detail and must be carried out with excellent technical skill and constant communication with FTA to be successful. A successful consultant will demonstrate knowledge of the AA process and aptitude regarding the technical analyses needed to produce a technically sound AA. It is important that the Alternatives Analysis process follow guidance that FTA publishes regarding New Starts and Small Starts requirements. The LPA that results from this study should have all the elements required by FTA to advance into NEPA and the New Starts process and position the project to be eligible for FTA discretionary grant program funds.

Work elements for the AA would include, but are not limited to:

1. Project Initiation

The consultant will coordinate with the RTA Owner's Representative to schedule a kickoff meeting with the project management team and identify relevant issues for the AA process based upon an initial review of existing documents, corridor conditions, and technical advisory committee input. A tour of the corridors will also be included.

<u>Activities:</u>

- Schedule Kick-Off Meeting

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- Meeting Minutes

2. <u>Develop Problem Statement, Goals, Objectives and Evaluation</u> <u>Criteria</u>

The consultant will define the initial problem statement, goals, objectives and evaluation criteria based upon FTA guidance. The problem statement, goals, objectives and evaluation criteria will create the framework for the development and evaluation of alternatives and the content of the AA.

<u>Activities:</u>

- Identify areas of effect for each of the social, economic, environmental and transportation issues or resources
- Present problem statement, goals, objectives and evaluation criteria to RTA
- Develop an understanding of existing developments, recent and approved /pipeline developments and adopted plans
- Prepare minutes of any meetings
- Prepare draft document of problem statement, goals, objectives and evaluation criteria
- Prepare materials for public meetings

Deliverables:

- Land use evaluation map(s) that shows adopted land use and potential needs/impacts.
- Document presenting the problem statement, goals, objectives and

evaluation criteria.

3. Assessment of Alternatives

This task will focus on alternative definition. Feasibility of alternatives will determine alternatives to be taken to further development. This task will document alternatives to undergo more detailed evaluation.

<u>Activities:</u>

- Develop Evaluation Criteria
- Establish goals and objectives
- Station location analysis
- Assess Social, Economic, Environmental and Transportation Issues.
- Conduct analysis of the impacts of alternatives on Neighborhood Character, Health, Affordable Housing, Access to Employment, and Household Transportation Costs
- Assess Alternatives
- Define Alternatives
- Prepare inputs for the travel demand model

Deliverables:

- Technical Memorandum: Refined definition of alternatives
- Technical Memorandum: Refined conceptual capital and operational cost estimates

4. Travel Demand Modeling

Consultant will review regional travel model for applicability to the transit systems planning and alternatives analysis activities. Consultant will conduct a series of tests to ensure the model outputs, particularly those related to the transit mode choice (i.e. ridership), are reasonable. Consultant may suggest improvements to the entire model or to specific components of the model, utilizing any or all approaches feasible within a reasonable scope to project future transit ridership and user benefits. These improvements may include, but are not limited to, the travel model's structure or parameters; zones or districts; and roadway/transit network refinements. If required and warranted in the course of the analysis, the consultant may use and document alternative or supplemental methodologies to finalize corridor level forecasts.

Throughout the process the consultant shall provide RTA with data analysis steps and document assumptions made in travel demand modeling or any other data analysis. Travel demand model input and output data shall be made available to RTA. All GIS data used shall also be made available to RTA. It is of utmost importance that the data used in the study be readily available and documented thoroughly so that FTA and RTA can reproduce the results in the Alternatives Analysis.

Activities:

- Review future land use assumption inputs into the travel model
- Understand the proposed corridors and their compatibility with transitsupportive land uses (e.g., higher density housing, mixed use developments, campuses, etc.)
- Prepare a series of GIS maps showing land use densities by type (e.g., employment, households, etc.)

- Confirm operating costs using planning level analysis.
- Create a reasonable course of action for producing a final product that can interact with the FTA transit ridership evaluation tool Simplified Trips-on-Project Software (STOPS), and for producing (and preserving) forecasts that will be acceptable to FTA for a New or Small Starts project evaluation
- Interact with FTA and RTA as needed in this process.

Deliverables:

- GIS maps showing land use densities by type
- Technical Memorandum regarding service headways
- Technical Memorandum regarding operating costs
- Ridership forecasts that determine the projected ridership based on the travel demand model and any reasonable assumptions concerning land use, economic development, or job growth.

5. <u>Refine Transit Alternatives</u>

During this analysis, the consultant will develop a work session with RTA to refine the transit alternatives. The full range of information developed will be assessed based on land use, ridership, cost, political, environmental, and engineering issues.

<u>Activities:</u>

- Refine the identification of the areas of effect for each of the social, economic, environmental, and transportation issues or resources
- Evaluate the refined benefits and impacts of the alternatives based on the assessment methodologies
- Identify the methodology for assessing the detailed effects of the alternatives on existing and proposed infrastructure as well as the environment
- Refine evaluation criteria and measures of effectiveness based on the project goals, objectives, and purpose and need
- Assess the benefits and impacts of the refined alternatives
- Summarize the effects in an evaluation matrix and develop a set of findings and conclusions
- Conduct Work Session with RTA to discuss the finding of the assessment.

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- Technical memorandum describing draft assessment areas and methodologies
- Memorandum summarizing the evaluation criteria and measures of effectiveness for engineering and environmental assessments
- Evaluation matrix outlining benefits and impacts

6. Station Location Analysis

The purpose of this task is to determine the number and locations of stations, and complete station site selection to include alternate station site locations and all aspects of preliminary station planning. The consultant will coordinate this task with cities in the

RTA service area in order to develop/access station area principles, station location evaluation criteria, and station area planning guidelines. Additionally, the consultant will provide a corridor real estate analysis.

<u>Activities:</u>

- Develop transit engineering station location evaluation criteria and measures for each station
- Review criteria with RTA and affected cities
- Incorporate public input into station location evaluation criteria
- Review station locations with RTA and revise as necessary
- Conduct workshops to review preliminary station location recommendations
- Prepare a station location report identifying platform locations

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- Station location report
- Engineering station location evaluation criteria

7. Document Station Elements and Site Envelope

The consultant shall develop basic station requirements, or programs, for each potential station. These program elements will define the expected functional role for each station (i.e. walk-up, transfer center, or park-and-ride) and program elements that will define each station's site envelope (parking, storm water, major access points, system components) for impact assessment.

<u>Activities:</u>

- Prepare program elements and station envelope for each station
- Ensure that station locations are closely coordinated with land use planning efforts from the affected cities

Deliverables:

- Technical Memorandum identifying preliminary station program elements. These program elements will define the expected functional role for each station (i.e. walkup, transfer center, or park-and-ride) and identify technical requirements for platform locations, bus bays and bus circulation areas, kiss- and-ride spaces and the number of park-and-ride spaces as appropriate to each station.
- Station envelope concept plans.
- Visualizations, Renderings and Graphics detailing the alternatives

8. Develop Operational Plans

Develop operational plans for the alternatives that advance from the screening process. The operational plans shall include:

- i. Service standards
- ii. Station locations
- iii. Travel times
- iv. Headway (by time period)
- v. Fare structure

- vi. Hours of service
- vii. Type of vehicles
- viii. Number of vehicles required
- ix. Peak load capacity
- x. Vehicle miles travelled
- xi. Vehicle hours travelled

<u>Activities:</u>

- Develop operational plans

Deliverables:

- Operational plans

9. Evaluate Cost, Benefits, and Impacts

The consultant will evaluate all reasonable alternatives in each corridor. The evaluation of the costs, benefits, and impacts should focus on trade-offs between alternatives and provide the information in an easy-to-understand format so the RTA board of directors may comprehend the differences between alternatives. The evaluation will emphasize for each alternative how the alternative rates under the FTA benefit-cost analysis for qualifying for discretionary grant funding. The consultant will provide capital and operational cost estimates for the recommended LPAs in the FTA's Standard Cost Categories and include a sensitivity analysis of factors impacting financial projections.

<u>Activities:</u>

- Develop cost estimates
 - Provide capital and operational cost reports for the EDEI Project Corridor LPAs.
 - Estimates should include costs associated with LPA corridor preservation.
 - Estimates will include costs for facilities, systems and equipment, rightsof-way and RTA allowances (soft costs).
 - Facilities costs will be comprised of guideway, stations, parking/roadways, major structures, surface modifications, and trackwork.
 - Systems and equipment will include rolling stock, power supply, electrification and distribution, signals and communications, and fare collection.
 - RTA allowances will contain costs for administration, project management, construction management, community relations and involvement, insurance/legal, start up and testing, and training.
- Establish cost database
 - Consultant shall revise the capital/operational cost estimates depending on the refinements of alternatives and variations developed during the design process.
- Prepare Capital Cost Reports
- Prepare Operating Cost Reports
- Set up cost change documentation mechanism, including establishing baseline cost for corridor LPA
- Develop other cost estimates on an as needed basis for analysis purposes during the design process.

<u>Deliverables:</u>

- Initial, interim and final Capital and Operating Cost Reports

- Cost change tracking mechanism and cost baseline for corridor LPA

10. Locally Preferred Alternatives

This task will develop final detailed alternatives that will be the basis for a formal recommendation to RTA with the purpose of an LPA selection and adoption into a financially constrained transportation plan.

<u>Activities:</u>

- Document refined detailed definition of alternatives

Deliverables:

- Technical Memorandum: Refined detailed definition of alternatives with stations
- Rail operations plan
- Maintenance facility locations
- Corridor preservation strategies
- Health assessment and household transportation costs of the final alternatives
- Visualizations, renderings and graphics detailing the alternatives

V. Anticipated Timeline

October 3, 2022	Issue Request for Proposals – First Advertisement Date
October 10, 2022	Second Advertisement Date
October 19, 2022 12:30 p.m. – 2:00 p.m.	Pre-proposal conference, RTA, 431 W. Main St., Suite B, Oklahoma City, OK 73102. Attendance in encouraged, but not a requirement for proposal. This meeting will also be available virtually +1 (405) 534-4946 Phone Conference ID: 654 379 543#. All callers on the conference call will be muted but may submit questions in writing until 5:00 p.m. on October 19 to info@rtaok.org.
October 19, 2022	Questions regarding proposal due
5:00 p.m.	
October 21, 2022 5:00 p.m.	RTA will post responses to questions at <u>www.rtaok.org</u>
October 31, 2022 5:00 p.m. CST	PROPOSALS DUE electronically to info@rtaok.org
November 2, 2022 9:00 a.m.	Technical Advisory Committee and Evaluation Committee will narrow submissions using the evaluation criteria to a short list of qualified consultants
November 4, 2022 5:00 p.m.	RTA to announce short list of qualified candidates
November 16, 2022 9:00 – 2:00	RTA to conduct interviews with short listed consultant teams
November 28 – December 2, 2022	Contract negotiations with selected consultant
December 14, 2022	RTA to announce selection of consultant

January 18, 2023 2:30 p.m.	RTA Board Meeting/Selected Consultant Kick Off Meeting (pending availability of federal grant funding)

VI. Consultant Requirements

- 1. All communications, of any nature with respect to this RFP, shall be to Owner's Representative. Under no circumstances shall any prospective bidder or respondent discuss this solicitation or their anticipated response with any member or potential member of the RTA Board of Directors, the Evaluation Committee, or RTA/COPTA staff.
- 2. Respondent shall provide a statement as an addendum to its proposal which describes in a concise manner all past, present or planned organizational, financial, contractual or other interest(s) affected by any RTA employee, officer, agent, or Board member; any member of these entities' immediate family, partner, or organization that employs, or is about to employ, any of the above, and which is related to the work under this solicitation. The interest(s) described shall include those of the proposer, its affiliates, proposed consultants, proposed subcontractors, and key personnel of any of the above. Past interest shall be limited to within one year of the date of the offeror's technical proposal. Key personnel shall include any person owning more than 20% interest in the offeror, and the offeror's corporate officers, its senior managers and any employee who is responsible for making a decision or taking an action on this contract, where the decision or action can have an economic or other impact on the interests of a regulated or affected organization. This statement will not count toward the page limit.
- 3. Respondent will conform to all applicable state and federal regulations (See "Exhibits").
- 4. The most qualified respondent will be requested to submit a cost proposal for commencement of the negotiation process. A cost analysis and evaluation and/or audit of the cost shall be performed to determine if the cost is fair and reasonable. The respondent must be prepared to provide, upon request, specific detail of estimated costs (direct labor, fee, profit, overhead, other direct costs, etc.) and documentation supporting all cost elements. In the event agreement cannot be reached with the respondent within a reasonable amount of time as determined by the RTA, negotiations will be terminated, and the next most qualified respondent will be contacted.
- 5. In the event there is a single response to this RFP and said respondent meets all of the requirements of the selection process, a detailed cost proposal shall be requested from the single proposer. A cost analysis and evaluation and/or audit of the cost shall be performed to determine if the cost is fair and reasonable. The respondent shall provide, upon request, specific detail of estimated costs (direct labor, fee, profit, overhead, other direct costs, etc.) and documentation supporting all cost elements. In the event an agreement cannot be negotiated with the single respondent in a satisfactory manner, RTA will terminate the negotiations and may re-solicit.
- 6. Subject to applicable laws and RTA policy, financial information required to be submitted with cost proposals to establish financial responsibility and other financial data, such as wages, overhead rates, shall be handled as confidential and utilized only as a basis for proposal evaluation. Reasonable efforts will be made to avoid disclosure except as necessary for evaluation. All information provided by respondents to be considered confidential or proprietary must be so labeled at time of submittal.
- 7. Performance under a contract awarded pursuant to this RFP is estimated to commence January 18, 2023, and shall remain in full force and effect until completion of the project

no later than March 31, 2024.

- 8. Respondents agree to permit access to financial records for a pre-award audit to verify the accuracy of financial data, should RTA determine that such an audit is required prior to negotiations or award of contract.
- 9. This RFP, its addenda, along with all documents provided by the successful respondent will become part of the awarded contract and subject to the terms and conditions of the contract.
- 10. The award of a contract is subject to funding availability. RTA makes no representations that a contract will be awarded as a result of this solicitation. RTA reserves the right to waive any minor irregularities that may be contained in this RFP. RTA reserves the right to reject all responses and re-solicit or cancel this procurement if deemed by RTA to be in its best interest, without indicating any reasons for such action.
- 11. All costs related to the preparation of the proposal and any related activities such as interviews are the sole responsibility of the respondent. RTA assumes no liability for any costs incurred by respondent during the selection and contract negotiation process. Respondent shall not include any expenses as part of the price proposed in response to the RFP. Each respondent shall hold RTA harmless and free from any and all liability, claims, or expenses incurred by, or on behalf of, any person or organization responding to this RFP.
- 12. All contracts, subcontracts, and purchase orders resulting from this Request for Proposals will contain all State and Federal contract provisions required by law.
- 13. Respondent will be responsible to ensure all personnel proposed are qualified through training, experience, and appropriate certification for the tasks assigned.
- 14. Key personnel are those individuals specifically identified in the Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan as being set forth to effectively manage all aspects of the work in a quality, timely and efficient manner. When respondent list key personnel, the respondent is agreeing to make the personnel available to complete work on the contract at whatever level the project requires.
- 15. Proposals and their content become property of RTA and are treated as non-public records until the contract has been executed by all necessary officials of the respondent and RTA. The proposal of the successful respondent will be open to public inspection for a period of one year after the contract has been executed.
- 16. As part of the proposal evaluation, RTA may provide constructive criticism of the proposals submitted for this project. Debriefing information may consist of scores of the first-ranked respondent's proposal/interview and the scores, strengths and weaknesses of the respondent's own proposal/interview. Respondents may contact the RTA Owner's Representative for a formal debriefing.
- 17. Notice to Proceed will be issued by RTA Owner's Representative after contract execution. Authorization to begin work from any other source is invalid and will result in non-payment for services provided prior to authorized notification to begin work.
- 18. All protests with respect to this solicitation must be in writing and received by RTA within 7 days of contract award. Any protest not set forth in writing within the 7-day period is null and void and will not be considered. Deliver a copy of any protest to:

RTA Owner's Representative Kathryn@HolmesAssociatesLLC.com

- 19. Respondent agrees to maintain: a) occurrence type Commercial General Liability Insurance in the minimum amount of \$ 1 Million at all times during the life of this Agreement; b) automobile insurance covering owned, non-owned, and hired automobile with limits not less than \$1,000,000 combined single limit of coverage; and c) Professional Liability Insurance in the minimum amount of \$1 Million per occurrence \$2 Million aggregate; and d) Workers' Compensation insurance or a waiver conforming to the appropriate states' statutory requirements covering all employees of respondent, and any employees of its sub-consultants, representatives, or agents as long as they are engaged in the work covered by this Agreement or such sub-consultants, representatives, or agents shall provide evidence of their own Worker's Compensation insurance.
- 20. This is a Qualifications Based Selection process based on United States Code Title 40, Chapter 11, Section 1101-1104 Selection of Architects and Engineers, otherwise known as The Brooks Act). Consultant fees are not a factor in the ranking of respondents to provide the requested services.
- 21. Respondent's proposal should include information about Disadvantaged Business Enterprises (DBEs) and estimated percentage of participation in this proposal by qualified DBEs. A good faith effort must be made to incorporate DBEs into the proposal. A list of qualified DBEs for Oklahoma can be found at: http://www.okladot.state.ok.us/dbeinfo/dbe dcf index.htm
- 22. All documents requiring signature shall be signed by an individual or individuals authorized to execute legal documents on behalf of the parties represented.

VII. Proposal Instructions and Guidelines

The following table outlines the proposal instructions and guidelines. Any penalty or disqualification actions are clearly identified in the table. Violations that do not result in a penalty or a disqualification action may still affect the consultant's overall proposal score as part of the evaluation process.

Proposal Instructions and Guidelines		
Instruction	Description of Requirement	Violation Penalty or Disqualification
Page Limits	The maximum allowable number of pages for the proposal is 10. The Cover Page (Attachment A), Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan (Attachment E), resumes, and section divider tabs do not count toward the page limitation.	Additional pages will be removed
Page Sizes	Allowable page size is 8 ½ x 11.	Pages violating size requirement will be removed
Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan	Provide a copy of the Consultant Proposed Project Team organizational chart with no additional information beyond that which is required.	If additional information is provided, the Staffing Plan will be removed
Margins	Provide one-inch (1") margins throughout the proposal; consultant name/logo and page headers/footers may be within the margins	Guideline
Font and Line	Use a 10-point [or greater] Arial or Times New	Guideline

Spacing	Roman font	
PDF	Send proposals via e-mail in PDF format to	Guideline
Submission	info@rtaok.org	
Proposal Deadline	Send proposals to <u>info@rtaok.org</u> prior to 5:00 P.M Central Time on deadline date.	Disqualification
Interviews	If interviews are required, attend the date and time instructed by RTA Owner's Representative	Disqualification
Fee Discussion	Submit a proposal without any reference to consultant fees on this project or any past, present or future project	Disqualification
Cover Page	Provide a complete Cover Page , including a signed, verbatim acknowledgement as identified in Attachment A .	Disqualification
DBE Goal	While there isn't a current DBE goal, we encourage all certified DBE contractors to apply. All prime contractors are encouraged to visit https://okdot.gob2g.com/ to see ODOT's DBE database for an up-to-date list of available DBEs should they need any subcontracting work.	Guideline
Required	Submit all Required Forms, as identified in	Disqualification
Forms	Attachments A-E.	

1. Evaluation Team: The Evaluation Team members will receive copies of each responsive proposal submitted. They will review and score the proposals individually based on the evaluation criteria identified in Section VIII (Proposal Requirements and Evaluation Criteria) and submit their scores and comments to the RTA Owner's Representative. RTA Owner's Representative will tally and compile the scores and comments.

The Evaluation Team will then meet to discuss the proposals and comments from individual Evaluation Team members and determine whether interviews are necessary or whether the selection may be made based on the average scores from the proposals. Final proposal score results are the average of voting Evaluation Team members' scores.

2. Selection Interviews: RTA reserves the right to make the selection based on the proposal scores. However, if the Evaluation Team determines it is necessary to conduct interviews in order to make a selection, the Evaluation Team will develop the format of the interviews and provide instructions on the interview format to each consultant invited to participate.

Interviews will take place in the event the first-place ranking is a tied score for two or more consultants, or other extenuating circumstances. If the Evaluation Team determines interviews are necessary, project-specific topics will be provided to each team that is invited to participate in the interview process. Proposal scores will be carried over and will be weighted at 30% of the final score. The remaining 70% of the final score will be based on the interview.

- 3. Selecting by Consent (SBC): The SBC process will be used to score the interview. SBC is a scoring process that aids the Evaluation Team in developing final ranking through a collaborative process. In this process, each segment and question of the interview is weighted in advance during the Evaluation Team Meeting. After the interviews are conducted, the Evaluation Team scores each segment and question by "consent". Consent is defined as the willingness of all Evaluation team members to accept a decision reached by a collaborative process.
- 4. Qualitative Assessment Guidelines: Through the scoring process (for proposals and interviews) the Evaluation Team will use the following Qualitative Assessment Guidelines

when scoring. These guidelines are used to help ensure consistency in scoring.

Qualitative Assessment Guidelines	
9-10	The proposal demonstrates a complete understanding of the subject and qualifications that significantly exceed expectations and the stated requirements. Proposal contains many strengths and minor weaknesses, if any.
6-8	The proposal demonstrates a strong understanding of the subject and qualifications that exceed expectations and the stated requirements. Weaknesses, if any, are minor. Proposal contains strengths that outweigh the weaknesses.
3-5	The proposal demonstrates an adequate understanding of the subject and qualifications that meet expectations and the stated requirements. Proposal contains strengths that are offset by the weaknesses.
1-2	The proposal demonstrates a vague understanding of the subject and qualifications that fall below expectations and the stated requirements. Proposal contains weaknesses that outweigh the strengths.
0	The proposal is unacceptable. The proposal fails to meet expectations and the stated requirements. Proposal contains many weaknesses and only minor strengths, if any.

VIII. Proposal Requirements and Evaluation Criteria

- 1. The proposal should be organized with the following sections:
 - a. Cover Page (Attachment A)
 - b. Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan (Attachment B)
 - c. Project Team
 - d. Key Personnel Resumes
 - e. Capability of the Firm(s)
 - f. Approach to the Project
 - g. Required Forms (Exhibit 1)
- 2. Proposal Section Requirements and Evaluation Criteria:
 - a. <u>Cover Page</u>. The Cover Page is one page. It may be on the Prime consultant's letterhead and will consist of the information in **Attachment A** with no additional information. The information is not required to be in the exact format in Attachment A, as long as each item of requested information is presented, <u>with no additional information</u>. Proposals will be considered non-responsive and will be disqualified if the Cover Page is not attached to the proposal; if the acknowledgement is not included on the Cover Page; and/or if there is additional information included on the Cover Page. No evaluation points are assigned to this section and the Cover Page will not count as one of the allowed pages.
 - b. <u>Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan</u>. The consultant is expected to provide a Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan in the form of **Attachment B**. The staffing plan must identify the certification and education levels of the individuals proposed for use on the contract, including sub-consultants' personnel. When consultants list key personnel on the proposed staffing plan, the consultant is agreeing to make the personnel available to complete the services in the contract at whatever level the project requires. The Consultant Proposed Staffing Plan must be included in the proposal but will not count as one of the allowed pages. No other information is allowed on these pages. If additional information is provided, the staffing plan will be removed. No evaluation points are assigned to this section.
 - c. Project Team. The Evaluation Team will evaluate how well the qualifications and

experience of the proposed project team members related to the specific project. The Evaluation Team will score proposals based upon the following criteria:

% of Section Total	Project Team Section Criteria
15	Project Team organization charts including sub-consultants. Identify consultants and individuals that will be providing key services on the project (including all technical expertise necessary to perform the outlined scope of work).
50	Describe the qualifications, experience, and availability percentage of key personnel on your proposed project team. Correlate the qualifications and experience with the scope of work. Submit a one-page resume for each individual identified as key personnel.
35	Provide a table of projects completed by team members during the last ten years. The table headings should include the following items. Columns may be combined in order to consolidate information. Name of Project Manager/Team Member(s) Year Year Type of Project Project Name Project Location Project Description Project Used to Secure Federal Discretionary Funding Services Performed/Specific Project Role Client Reference Contact and Telephone Number
40	Maximum points available for this section of the proposal (out of 100).

d. <u>Capability of the Firm(s)</u>. The Evaluation Team will evaluate the protect team firm(s) capability to perform the work. The Evaluation Team will score proposals based upon the following criteria:

% of Section Total	Capability of the Firm(s) Section Criteria
40	Describe your project team firms' capability, experience and unique qualifications to perform the specific type of work identified in the scope of work.
20	Discuss the logistics relating to how the project team firms will provide the services requested.
40	Choose a similar project identified in the project team section and discuss in detail what how the project team firms contributed to the project's success.
35	Maximum points available for this section of the proposal (out of 100).

e. <u>Approach to the Project</u>. The Evaluation Team will evaluate how well you have planned a basic course of action, what alternatives and/or preliminary approaches are proposed, and what provisions are identified for dealing with potential impacts. The Evaluation Team will score proposals based upon the following criteria:

% of Section Total	Approach to the Project Section Criteria
25	Describe the course of action proposed to meet the Scope of Work. Be realistic, clear and concise.
25	Provide a schedule of key project milestones and discuss the rationale behind this schedule.
25	Discuss your project team firms collaboration efforts and how you plan to work together for a successful project.
25	Identify risks, challenges, conflicts and potential mitigation.
25	Maximum points available for this section of the proposal (out of 100).

IX. RTA Rights

- 1. RTA reserves the right to reject any and all proposals received as a result of this solicitation, to negotiate with any qualified source, to waive any formality and any technicalities or to cancel in part or in its entirety this RFP if it is in the best interests of RTA. This solicitation of proposals in no way obligates RTA to award a contract. Interviews, if requested, will take place at the RTA offices.
- 2. RTA reserves the right to award the contract to the most qualified proposer. RTA has 120 days from the proposal opening date to award a contract or reject all proposals.
- 3. A proposer may withdraw the proposal at any time prior to the award of the contract. A proposal may also be retrieved from RTA and resubmitted only prior to the date and time listed for submission. Proper identification and a formal letter will be required to withdraw the proposal.
- 4. All proposals become the property of RTA upon submission.

RTA Agenda Item No. 11. 9/15/2022



TO: Chairperson and Board of Directors

FROM: Interim Executive Director

Enter into Executive Session on advice of the Municipal Counselor to discuss the purchase or appraisal of real property as authorized by 25 O.S. (2021 Supp.) § 307 (B) (3).

Background It is the recommendation that the Chairperson and the Board of Directors retire into executive session to receive confidential consultant reports relating to ongoing negotiations with BNSF.

Recommendation: Enter into executive session.

am ferhock

Jason Ferbrache Interim Executive Director